1	Stephen C. Woodruff		
2	Attorney and Counselor at Law 2 <sup>nd</sup> Flr., Hill Law Ofc. Bldg., Susupe	FILED	
3	Tokcha Avenue at Lulai Way P. O. Box 500770	Clerk District Court	
4	Saipan, MP 96950 Tel.: (670) 235-3872 Fax: (670) 235-3873	JUN 1 2 2008	
5	Attorney for Plaintiffs	For The Northern Mariana Island	et
6		(Deputy Clerk)	
7	IN THE UNITED STATE	ES DISTRICT COURT	
8	FOR THE NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS		,
9	ESTERLITA D. ELIARES, YOLLY M. )	Civil Action No. CV 08-0027	,
10	LABORCE, MA. NIEVA V.		
11	GRACE R. NAVARRETE, OLIVIA S.	COMPLAINT	
12	ODON, JESSICA E. ORTIZO, and () GERTRUDEZ U. RINON,		
13	Plaintiffs,		
14	<b>\</b>		
15	-V-		
16	L&T INTERNATIONAL   CORPORATION,		
17	Defendant.		
18	{		
19	<b>\</b>		
20	<b>\</b>		
21			
22	Ĭ		
23	NATURE OF THE ACTION		
24	1. This is an action against Defendant under Title VII of Civil Rights Act		
25	of 1964 (Title VII), 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000e., et seq., as amended for its unlawful,		
	yy - 11 11, 12 minorated 202 200 child within		
	-1-		

3

5

7

9

8

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

2122

23

24

discriminatory, and retaliatory employment practices towards Plaintiffs in violation of Plaintiffs' federally-protected rights.

2. Plaintiffs complain about employment discrimination based on national origin, retaliatory discharge, and other impermissible grounds described in this Complaint, including, but not limited to: (a) discriminatory policies, practices, and/or procedures in hiring, firing, and layoffs; and (b) retaliatory discharge of Plaintiffs for filing an EEOC charge against Defendant or otherwise testifying, assisting, or participating in any manner in the investigation or proceeding involving or related to the said EEOC charge. Plaintiffs are seeking lost earnings, lost employment benefits, punitive, exemplary, compensatory, incidental, consequential damages, costs, and attorney's fees to redress Defendant's unlawful and discriminatory employment policies, practices, and/or procedures.

## II JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. Title VII applies to this matter through the Covenant to Establish a Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands in Political Union with the United States of America, Article V, § 502(a)(2).
- 4. This Court has jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' Title VII claims pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(e)(3), 28 U.S.C. § 1331(a) (federal question jurisdiction), and 28 U.S.C. § 1337(a) (proceedings arising under any Act of Congress regulating commerce).
- 5. This action is authorized and instituted pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000e-(f)(1) and (3) of Title VII..
- 6. Venue in this Court is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 and 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5.(f)(3) because Defendant's unlawful and discriminatory

employment practices alleged herein were committed in Saipan, Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands.

### III PROCEDURAL REQUIREMENTS

- 7. On different dates in March and April 2006, Plaintiffs filed charges of discrimination with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC") in Saipan, Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands. The charges were filed within one hundred eighty (180) days after the occurrence of one or more of the unlawful and discriminatory employment practices alleged herein pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 2000e.5(e)(1).
- 8. On or about March 3, 2008, the EEOC issued to each Plaintiff a Notice of Right to Sue (Conciliation Failure). Plaintiffs are filing this complaint within ninety (90) days after the date they received the Notices of Right to Sue in compliance with 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(f)(1) and 29 U.S.C. § 626(e). Attached hereto as **Exhibits "1"** to "8" and incorporated by reference are copies of the Notices of Right to Sue.
- 9. All jurisdictional prerequisites to the institution of this lawsuit have been fulfilled, and Plaintiffs have exhausted their administrative remedies as required by law.

#### IV PARTIES

10. Plaintiffs, at all relevant times, were residing in Saipan, Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands (CNMI), and were employees of Defendant, within the meaning of 42 U.S.C. § 2000e.(f).

- 11. Defendant **L&T INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION**, is, and at all relevant times was, a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the CNMI having its principal place of business in Saipan, CNMI.
- 12. Defendant is, and at all relevant times was, engaged in an industry affecting commerce as defined under 42 U.S.C. § 2000e(g)(h) with more than 20 employees and was an employer of Plaintiffs within the meaning of 42 U.S.C. § 2000e(b).

### V STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 13. Plaintiffs are all foreign national workers from the Republic of the Philippines.
- 14. Plaintiffs were employed in various capacities and performed work at Defendant's garment factory beginning sometime in 2004 pursuant to renewable written employment contracts approved by the CNMI Department of Labor (DOL).
- 15. Defendant, at all relevant times, also employed other, Chinese workers under similar renewable written employment contracts.
- 16. Sometime in May, 2005, Defendant terminated the employment contracts of Plaintiffs due to a purported reduction in force.
- 17. At that time, Defendant continued to hire other, Chinese workers and continuously renewed Chinese workers who were already employed.
- 18. On different dates in June, 2005 and July, 2005, Plaintiffs Eliares, Lorenzo, Mora, Navarrete, Odon, and Rinon filed complaints for discrimination based on national origin, among others, with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC).

- 19. The above-named Plaintiffs, including Plaintiffs Laborce and Ortizo and other workers, also filed related labor complaints at the CNMI Department of Labor (DOL). DOL then instituted Compliance Agency Case No. 05-100-05 entitled Director of Labor vs. L&T International Corporation and Compliance Agency Case No. 05-101-06 entitled Director of Labor vs. Concorde Garment Manufacturing Corporation.
- 20. On or about November 21, 2005, a Stipulated Settlement Agreement was entered by the parties in C.A.C. Nos. 05-100-05 and 05-101-06. The settlement agreement provided for the reinstatement of Plaintiffs and the other complaining workers.
- 21. Upon their reinstatement to work, Plaintiffs resumed performing their duties competently and in an excellent manner.
- 22. Sometime in January 2006, Defendant informed each Plaintiff that their employment contracts will not be renewed on their expiration dates.
- 23. Plaintiffs were surprised because Defendant had not previously informed them about any performance issue that could have justified the non-renewal of their employment contracts.
- 24. Plaintiffs are also informed and believe, and thereupon allege, that after they were terminated, Defendant hired additional Chinese workers and continued to renew other Chinese workers.
- 25. Plaintiffs believe that they were terminated by Defendant because of national origin.
- 26. Plaintiffs also believe that they were terminated because they made a charge, or otherwise testified, assisted, or participated in the first EEOC complaint filed by Plaintiffs in June and July, 2005.

- 1 2 3 4 5 6
- 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
- 13141516

17

18

19

20

2122

2324

25

- 27. With respect to Plaintiffs Eliares, Lorenzo, Mora, Navarrete, Odon, and Rinon, the EEOC determined that "[L&T] discharged [Plaintiffs] and a class of employees in retaliation for engaging in protected activity and that the evidence is sufficient to establish a violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended." Attached hereto as **Exhibits "9"** to **"14"** and incorporated by reference are copies of the Determination dated September 26, 2007.
- 28. With respect to Plaintiffs Laborce and Ortizo, the EEOC had determined that they "and a class of employees were discharged because of their association with employees who engaged in protected activity and that the evidence is sufficient to establish a violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended." Attached hereto as **Exhibits "15"** to **"16"** and incorporated by reference are copies of the Determination dated September 26, 2007.
- 29. As a result of Defendant's pattern and practice of discrimination based on national origin and its retaliation against Plaintiffs for engaging in protected activities, Plaintiffs experienced harm, including loss of earnings, wages, and other employment benefits. Plaintiffs' discrimination at work was so severe and pervasive that it affected the terms and conditions of their status as employees.

### VI CAUSES OF ACTION

## First Cause of Action National Origin Discrimination

30. Paragraphs 1 through 29 above are incorporated herein by reference as if fully pleaded in this First Cause of Action.

- 31. Defendant's discrimination of Plaintiffs on account of their national origin constitutes an unlawful employment practice in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-2(a)(1)(2).
- 32. Defendant's unlawful and discriminatory practices as alleged above deprived Plaintiffs of equal employment opportunities or otherwise adversely affected their status as employees due to their national origin.
- 33. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's unlawful and discriminatory practices based on national origin, Plaintiffs have suffered and continue to suffer loss of earnings and other employment benefits which they otherwise would have earned.
- 34. Defendant engaged in the above-described conduct against Plaintiffs with malice and in reckless disregard of Plaintiffs' federally-protected rights thereby entitling each Plaintiff to punitive damages in an amount to be determined at trial.

### **Second Cause of Action**

Retaliatory Discharge

- 35. Paragraphs 1 through 34 above are incorporated herein by reference as if fully pleaded in this Second Cause of Action.
- 36. Defendant willfully violated Section 2000e-3(a) by terminating the employment of Plaintiffs Eliares, Lorenzo, Mora, Navarrete, Odon, and Rinon because they filed an EEOC complaint against Defendant and by terminating the employment of Plaintiffs Laborce and Ortizo for their association with those who filed an EEOC complaint or otherwise testifying, assisting, or participating in the said EEOC complaint.

- 37. The termination of Plaintiffs' employment caused them to suffer substantial damages for past and pecuniary losses, mental anguish, humiliation, loss of enjoyment of life, and other non-pecuniary losses.
- 38. Defendant had acted maliciously and with reckless disregard of Plaintiffs' federally protected rights by retaliating against Plaintiffs thereby entitling each of them to punitive damages in an amount to be determined at trial.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully request for the following relief:

- (1) An award of lost earnings and lost benefits, and punitive damages to each Plaintiff according to law and proof under the First Cause of Action;
- (2) An award of lost earnings and lost benefits, punitive and other damages to each Plaintiff according to law and proof under the Second Cause of Action;
- (3) An award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs; and
- (4) Such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

DATED this 11th day of June 2008.

STEPHEN C. WOODRUFF

Attorney for Plaintiffs

U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission

Notice of Right to Sue (Conciliation Failure)

Estedita D. Eliares Chaise Kanca Saican, MP 98950 From: Honolulu Local Office 300 Ala Moana Blvd

Room 7-127

Honolulu, Hi 96850

On behalf of person(s) aggrieved whose identity is CONFIDENTIAL (29 OFR §1601.7(a))

&£ CC Charge No.

EEOC Representative

Telephone No.

James S. Yao,

486-2005-00080

investigator

(808) 541-3118

#### TO THE PERSON AGGRIEVED:

This indice concludes the EEOC's processing of the above-numbered charge. The EEOC found reasonable cause to believe that violations of the statute(s) occurred with respect to some or all of the matters alleged in the charge but could not obtain a settlement with the Respondent that would provide relief for you. In addition, the EEOC has decided that it will not bring surgainst the Respondent at this time based on this charge and will close its file in this case. This does not mean that the EEOC is certifying that the Respondent is in compliance with the law, or that the EEOC will not sue the Respondent later or intervent later in your lawsuit if you decide to sue on your own behalf.

#### - notice of suit rights -

(See the additional information attached to this form.)

Title Vit, the Americans with Disabilities Act, and/or the Age Discrimination in Employment Act: This will be the only notice of dismissal and of your right to sue that we will send you. You may file a lawsuit against the respondent(s) undereases the passed on this charge in federal or state court. Your lawsuit must be filed WITHIN 30 DAYS of your receip of this notice: or your right to sue based on this charge will be lost. (The time limit for filing suit based on a state claim may be offered.)

Equal Pay Act (EPA): EPA suits must be filled in federal or state court within 2 years (3 years for willful violations) of the sleged EPA underpayment. This means that backpay due for any violations that occurred more than 2 years (3 years pefore you file suit may not be collectible.

र्त ५०. Le suit based on this charge, please send a copy of your court complaint to this office.

On behalf of the Commission

Enclosures(s)

Timothy A Riera, Director

(Date Mailed)

C&7 international do Colin Thompson, Esq. Attorney at Law PMS 917 Sox 10001 Szipan, MP 98950

Committee Committee (CAMP)

U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO SUE (CONCILIATION FAILURE)

70 Y619 Leberce 7.0. Box 594292 Seipen MP 96956 From: Honolulu Local Office 300 Ala Moana Bivo

Room 7-127 Honolulu, Hl 98860

On behalf of person(s) aggrieved whose identity is CONFIDENTIAL (29 OFR §1601.7(a))

EEOC Carrie No. FFOC Representative

Telephone No.

james S. Yao,

4.83-2006-00077 Investigator

(808) 541-3118

#### TO THE PERSON AGGRIEVED:

The EEOC found reasonable cause to believe that workings of the short workings of the statute(s) occurred with respect to some or all of the matters alleged in the charge but could not obtain a set effect, with the Respondent that would provide relief for you. In addition, the EEOC has decided that it will not bring suit against the Respondent at this time based on this charge and will close its file in this case. This does not mean that the EEOC is perfectlying that the Respondent is in compliance with the law, or that the EEOC will not sue the Respondent later or intervene rater in your awastiff you decide to sue on your own behalf.

## - NOTICE OF SUIT RIGHTS - (See the additional information attached to this form.)

Title Why the Americans with Dissbilities Act, and/or the Age Discrimination in Employment Act: This will be the only notice of dismissal and of your right to sue that we will send you. You may file a lawsuit against the respondent(s) under isoers, law passed on this charge in federal or state court. Your lawsuit must be filed WITHIN 90 DAYS of your receipt of this notice; or your right to sue based on this charge will be lost. (The time limit for filing suit based on a state claim may be offered.

Equa: Pay Act (EPA): EPA suits must be filed in federal or state court within 2 years (3 years for willful violations) of the alleged EPA underpayment. This means that backpay due for any violations that occurred more than 2 years (3 years) before you file suit may not be collectible.

1 you his successed on this charge, please send a copy of your court complaint to this office.

On behalf of the Commission

Emplosoruses

Timothy A) Riere,

Director

(Date Mailed)

L&T international
 Colin Thompson, Esq.
 Attorney at Law
 PMS 947 Box 18004
 Salpan, MP 95950

U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission

## NOTICE OF RIGHT TO SUE (CONCILIATION FAILURE)

: - Маківча Р. Мога Рото 1874 **Вох 10008** Багракі, **МР 88950** 

200

Service terral and

From: Honolulu Local Office 300 Ala Moana Blyd Room 7-127 Honolulu, Hi 99850

On behalf of person(s) aggrieved whose identity is CONFIDENTIAL (29 OFR §1601.7(a))

EFO : Charge No.

EEOC Representative

Talent to the

James S. Yao,

485-2006-00093

investigator

(80%) 504-05138

#### TO THE PERSON AGGRIEVED:

The notice concludes the EEOC's processing of the above-numbered charge. The EEOC found reasonable cause to believe that not account of the statute(s) occurred with respect to some or all of the matters alleged in the charge into could not obtain settlement with the Respondent that would provide relief for you. In addition, the EEOC has decided that it would provide relief for you. In addition, the EEOC has decided that it would provide relief for you. In addition, the EEOC has decided that it would provide relief for you. In addition, the EEOC has decided that it would provide relief for you. In addition, the EEOC has decided that it would provide relief for you. In addition, the EEOC has decided that it would not sue the Respondent racer or interventage in your leavest if you decide to sue on your own behalf.

#### - Notice of Suit Rights -

(See the additional information attached to this form.)

This will the Americans with Disabilities Act, and/or the Age Discrimination in Employment Act. This will be the onleader of dismissal and of your right to sue that we will send you. You may file a lawsuit against the cuspondent(s) undeleders have based on this charge in federal or state court. Your lawsuit must be filed WITHIN 90 10 1/5 of your receip of this distance, or your light to sue based on this charge will be lost. (The time limit for filing suit based on a slate claim made of the end.)

Equal Pay Act (EPA): EPA suits must be flied in federal or state court within 2 years (3 years for willy, violations) of the elegan EPA underpayment. This means that backpay due for any violations that occurred more than 2 years (3 years before you file suit may not be collectible.

Type he sumpased on this charge, please send a copy of your court complaint to this office.

On behalf of the Commission

i dosherata

Timothy A. Riera,

Director

Tang Landant

L&T International c/c Colin Thompson, Esq. Attorney at Law PMB 917 Box 10001 Saipan, MP 96950

JEXHIRT SO

Tento Transport

U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

# Notice of Right to Sue (Conciliation Failure)

Halitieva Lerenzo P.O. Bok 554721 Balban, MP 96950 From: Vionolyju Loca' (Affice 200 Ala Maana Blvd

Room 7-127 Honolulu, HI 96850

On behalf of person(s) agginated whose identity is CONFIDENTIAL (29 GFR §1601.7(a))

FROC Charge No.

EEOC Representative

Teksprone No.

James S. Yao,

438-2005-00082

investigator

1805 541-3118

TO THE PERSON AGGRIEVED:

This increase dericates the EEOC's processing of the above-numbered charge. The EEOC found reasonable charge to believe that violations of the statute(s) occurred with respect to some or all of the matters alleged in the charge but could not obtain a semement with the Respondent that would provide relief for you. In addition, the EEOC has decided that it will not bring suit against the Respondent at this time based on this charge and will close its file in this case. This does not mean that the EEOC is partifying that the Respondent is in compliance with the law, or that the REOC will not sue the Respondent later or intervene attack you decide to sue on your own bahalf.

## - NOTICE OF SUIT RIGHTS -

(See the additional information attached to this form.)

The Virtual Americans with Disabilities Act, and/or the Age Discrimination in Employment Aut: This will the the only color or premissal and of your right to sue that we will send you. You may file a lawsuit against the respondent(s) under rederal aw cased on this charge in federal or state court. Your lawsuit must be filed WITHIN 90 DAYS of your receipt of this notice or your right to sue based on this charge will be lost. (The time limit for filing suit based on a state claim may be different.

Equal Pay Act (EPA): EPA suits must be filed in federal or state court within 2 years (3 years for willful violations) of the suggest EPA charpayment. This means that backpay due for any violations that counted more than 2 years (3 years) before your tile suit may not be collectible.

Type. The suit, cased on this charge, please send a copy of your court complaint to this office.

On behalf of the Commission

Timothy A. Riera,

Director

(Date Mailed)

L&T : Alernational alo Colin Thompson, Esq. Attorney at Law PMB 917 Box 10001 Salban, MP 96950

ningstreiter.

EXHIBIT 4"

U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMERCION

# NOTICE OF RIGHT TO SUE (CONCILIATION FAILURE)

io Grace R. Mavarreta Pmb 1522, P.O. Box 19805 Sausan, MP 98950 From: Honoidiu Lacal AMACA 300 Ala Moana Blvd Room 7-521 Honoidiu, H. 98850

On beneft of person(s) aggrieved whose identity is CONFICENTIAL (29 OFR §1601.7(e))

DBOO Charga N.

والمرافية أنفر الأماري

EEOC Representative

Nec sure No.

James S. Yao,

486-2006-00094

investigator

15.15° 34 35.18

#### "O THE PERSON AGGRIEVED:

This holds concludes the EEOC's processing of the above-numbered charge. The EEOC found consecutive enter to prefer that violations of the statute(s) occurred with respect to some or all of the matters alleged at the charge but not obtain a seriement with the Respondent that would provide relief for you. In addition, the EEOC has decided that a vicinity original suit against the Respondent at this time based on this charge and will close its file in this case. This does not mean suit the EEOC is destined that the Respondent is in compliance with the law, or that the EEOC will not sue the Respondent salar or intervene later in your lawsuit if you decide to sue on your own behalf.

#### - NOTICE OF SUIT RIGHTS -

(See the additional information attached to this form.)

Title with the Americans with Disabilities Act, and/or the Age Discrimination in Manufayment Act. Tels with up the only notice of dismissal and of your right to sue that we will send you. You may file a lawfull against the respondent(s) under faceral rew based on this charge in federal or state court. Your lawfull must be filed the THM 90 that so your receipt of this society or your right to sue based on this charge will be lost. (The time limit for Pling suit based on a state claim may be offerent.

Equal Pay Act (EPA): EPA suits must be filed in federal or state court within 2 years (3 years for will'devices) of the slegge EPA underpayment. This means that backpay due for any violations that perhaps there is years a permitted may not be collectible.

if you like solit, based on this charge, please send a copy of your court complaint to this office.

On behalf of the Commission

Endicaures(s.

Timothy A. Riera,

Brector

Absta Meter B

co LAT international c/o Ocim Thompson, Esq. Attorney at Law PMS 317 Box 10001 Salpan, MP 98950

· ExHIBIT IT!

U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYVENT OF PORTION TO LOS OF SUIDE

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO A . . . . (CONGLIATION FALLS)

0.17a 066n 9.0. 86x 594520 0k Salban, MP 96350 From: Suc calls force of the a 1 Miles of the suc and the suc and the suc and the succession of the su

De celealf of cerson(s) aggrieved whose identity is a ONFIDENTIAL (29 OFR §1601-7(a))

Dividance No

EECC Representative

James S. Yao,

486-2006-00079

investigator

The second of the second

#### to the person aggreved:

This natice concludes the EEOC's processing of the above-numbered above to the line of the statute(s) occurred with respect to some or as define a control of the statute(s) occurred with respect to some or as define a control of the control of the control of the statute(s) occurred with respect to some or as define a control of the co

## - NOTICE OF SUIT ARE LITTLE (See the additional information site that Little 1 and 1

This CE, the Americans with Disabilities Act, and/or the Age Disability of the Lebeld of the action of the Ce of a control of the Act of the Ce of the Ce of the Act of the Ce of the Ce of the Act of the Ce of the Act of the Ce of the Ce

House Pay as (EPA): EPA suits must be filed in federal or state open of or 1 year, 38 years in the control of the underpayment. This means that backpay due for any vista forms if a not or to in programmed before you file suit may not be collectible.

it you the suit based on this charge, please send a copy of your count point (by Ed. 1919) and

On behalf of the Gernmis Ric

5/10/085 **88**(8)

Timothy A Riese,

Director

L&T international c/o Cotto Thompson, Esq. Attorney at Law PMS 917 Box 10001 Salcan, MP 96950

U.S. EQUAL BEFORESE CONTROL OF CHESSION

Author Of Model in 1914 (Complete February

o Jessica Omico P.O. Box 501751 Salpan MP 96950

(683)

On behalf of person(s) aggrieved whose identified DONFIDENTIAL (29 CFR §1601.7(a))

PROT Otalge No.

EEOC Representative

James S. Yao,

486-2308-00076

investigator

TO THE PERSON AGGRESSO!

the concludes the EEOC's processing of the above-numbered above. But BEOC found not the conclusion as to helicity the manufactures of the statute(s) occurred with respect to come rate of the construction. Buged in the conclusion of the settlement with the Respondent that would provide redection will consider a the conclusion of the conclusion of the charge and will consider a the conclusion. This conclusion is the Respondent is in compliance with the law, or transfer of the conclusion of the charge are not provided as an expectation of the charge are not provided as an expectation of the charge are not provided as an expectation of the charge are not provided as an expectation of the charge are not provided as an expectation of the charge are not provided as an expectation of the charge are not provided as an expectation of the charge are not provided as a constant of the charge are not provided as a constan

egopher, dan aveniration of the state of the

- NG TRAIL FOR BLOCK WILL BE COME (See the acculance of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction)

The VE one Americans with Disabilities Act. and/or the Age distribution on the Braphoyrous fold to the the notice of convertions and of your right to sue that we wis pend your fold the convertible and the convertible of your right to sue based on this charge will be lost the convertible of filing suffered to the convertible of the con

Saur Fay Act (EPA): EPA suits must be filed in failante on state (No. 1 Control (Sears (3 years in the control of the searce) This means that backpay sing for any south or a large occurred to the alger is given in particular. Searce you file suit may not be collectible.

Typushe suit pased on this charge, please send a copy of your pour completious mis office.

Or bear of the Confilmen

Find obligation

Turnof y A. Adems,

- A water

LåT International cro Colle Thompson, Esq. Attorney at Law PNIS 917 Box 10001 Saloan, MP 96953

U.S. HOUAL EVALUATION OF THE CONTRACT OF THE C

13 - W. **2**019-0

2 (A)

Gero Roes Roes Mary Hill M.D. Ball 696874 Ok Sarpan IMP 96880

in penalt of person(s) acqrevad whose ideal and

U INFIDENTIAL (29 CFR \$1601.7(a))

ECAL Charge No.

EEOC Recresentative

James S. Yau.

438-2903-50157

investigator

#### TO THE PERSON AGGRIEVED:

The nature conduces the EEOC's processing of males to the conduction of the mission of the statute(s) occurred with respect to 1000 mission of the statute(s) occurred with respect to 1000 mission of the statute(s) occurred with respect to 1000 mission of the statute(s) occurred with respect to 1000 mission of the statute(s) occurred with respect to 1000 mission of the statute(s) occurred with the Respondent to this time based on this time passed on the statute(s) of the statute(s) of the statute(s) occurred with the Respondent is in compliance with the statute(s) of the statute(s) of the statute(s) occurred with the s

(a) The Section of the

The solution americans with Disabilities Act, and only injuries to the landing result of the color of the col

Equal Pay Act (EPA): EPA suct must be filed to the monthly one of the view of year of the control of the contro

ingual establica**sed on this charge, please** sand grand of the incident in the color of the efficie

L&T international c/o Colin Thompson, Esq. Afterney at Law Pide 917 Box 10001 Salpan. MP 98950

War State